arXiv:1205.6612v3 [math.PR] 23 Aug 2012

# The Ising magnetization exponent is $\frac{1}{15}$

Federico Camia Christophe Garban Charles M. Newman

**Abstract**

In this paper, we prove that for the Ising model defined on the plane $\mathbb{Z}^2$ at $\beta = \beta_c$, the average magnetization under an external magnetic field $h > 0$ behaves exactly like

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c, h} \asymp h^{\frac{1}{15}} \,.$$

The proof, which is surprisingly simple compared to an analogous result for percolation (i.e. that $\theta(p) = (p - p_c)^{5/36 + o(1)}$ on the triangular lattice [SW01, K87]) relies on the GHS inequality.

## Contents

**1 Introduction** **1**

**2 Proof of the upper bound** **3**

**3 Proof of the lower bound** **5**

**4 Without assuming T. T. Wu's result** **8**

**Bibliography** **9**

## 1 Introduction

The classical Ising model on a finite domain $\Lambda_L := [-L, L]^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $+$ boundary condition and with external field $h \geq 0$ is defined as follows: for any spin configuration $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^{\Lambda_L}$, let

$$E_L(\sigma) := -\sum_{x \sim y} \sigma_x \sigma_y - \sum_{x \in \partial \Lambda_L} \sigma_x \tag{1.1}$$

be the interaction energy. Here the first sum is over nearest neighbor pairs in $\Lambda_L$ and the second is over sites in $\partial \Lambda_L$, the boundary of $\Lambda_L$. Let also

$$M_L(\sigma) := \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \sigma_x \tag{1.2}$$

be the total magnetization in $\Lambda_L$. The Ising model on $\Lambda_L$ with inverse temperature $\beta$, with $+$ boundary condition and with external magnetic field $h \geq 0$ is the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_L^{\beta,h,+}$ on $\{-1, 1\}^{\Lambda_L}$ defined by

$$\mathbb{P}_L^{\beta,h,+}\big[\sigma\big] := \frac{1}{Z_{L,\beta,h}} e^{-\beta\, E_L(\sigma) + h\, M_L(\sigma)} \,, \tag{1.3}$$

where the partition function $Z_{L,\beta,h}$ is simply defined as $\sum_\sigma e^{-\beta\, E_L(\sigma)+h\, M_L(\sigma)}$.

As is well known, the measures $\mathbb{P}_L^{\beta,h,+}$ have a unique *infinite volume limit* as $L \to \infty$, that we denote by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}^{\beta,h,+}$ (in fact, when $h > 0$, adding the $+$ boundary condition does not have any effect on the infinite volume limit). Since the work by Onsager ([O44]), it is known that if $h = 0$, then this system undergoes a **phase transition** at

$$\beta_c = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1+\sqrt{2})\,. \tag{1.4}$$

See for example [Gri06] and references therein and see [BD10] for a recent self-contained proof.

In this paper, we are interested in the behavior of the Ising model on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ near its critical point at $\beta = \beta_c$ but with a small external magnetic field $h > 0$. Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider the Ising model on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ at $\beta_c$ with a positive external magnetic field $h > 0$, then* [1]

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} \asymp h^{\frac{1}{15}}\,.$$

The proof of this theorem assumes the following celebrated result by T. T. Wu.

**Theorem 1.2** (T. T. Wu, see [W66, MW73])**.** *There exists an explicit constant $c > 0$ such that as $n \to \infty$*

$$\rho(n) := \langle \sigma_{(0,0)} \sigma_{(n,n)} \rangle \sim c\, n^{-1/4}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

See Section 1.2. in [CGN12] for a discussion of the status of Wu's result. See also Section 4 where we state a theorem which does not assume Wu's result (in particular, it turns out that by assuming much less, one still obtains the exponent $\frac{1}{15}$ in a weaker sense–see Remark 4.2).

Since the quantity $\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h}$ can be interpreted as the probability that the origin is connected to the *ghost vertex* in the appropriate FK percolation model defined on $\mathbb{Z}^2 \cup \{\text{ghost}\}$ (see Section 3), one can think of our main result, Theorem 1.1, as an analog of the following theorem by Smirnov and Werner.

**Theorem 1.3** (Smirnov and Werner [SW01])**.** *The percolation function $\theta(p)$ on the triangular grid has the following behavior for $p > p_c = 1/2$:*

$$\theta(p) = (p - 1/2)^{5/36 + o(1)}\,,$$

*as $p \to 1/2+$.*

Our main result is also an analog of the following celebrated result of Onsager on Ising spontaneous magnetization, except that our result concerns the near-critical regime in the $h$ direction rather than in the $\beta$ direction.

**Theorem 1.4** (Onsager [O44])**.**

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_\beta^+ \asymp |\beta - \beta_c|^{1/8}\,, \qquad \textit{as } \beta \searrow \beta_c\,.$$

---

[1] In this paper $f(a) \asymp g(a)$ as $a \searrow 0$ means that $f(a)/g(a)$ is bounded away from zero and $\infty$ while $f(a) \sim g(a)$ means that $f(a)/g(a) \to 1$ as $a \searrow 0$.

Let us end this introduction by stating the Griffiths-Hurst-Sherman inequality from [GrHS70] which will be essential to our work.

**Theorem 1.5** (GHS inequality [GrHS70])**.** *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. Consider a ferromagnetic Ising model on this graph (i.e., the interactions $J_e$ for $e = \{i, j\} \in E$ are non-negative) and assume furthermore that the external field $\mathbf{h} = (h_v)_{v \in V}$ (which may vary from one vertex to another) is positive. Under such general assumptions, one has for any vertices $i, j, k \in V$:*

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle - \Big( \langle \sigma_i \rangle \langle \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle + \langle \sigma_j \rangle \langle \sigma_i \sigma_k \rangle + \langle \sigma_k \rangle \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \Big) + 2 \langle \sigma_i \rangle \langle \sigma_j \rangle \langle \sigma_k \rangle \leq 0 \,.$$

This inequality has the following useful corollary.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph and let $K \subset V$ be a non-empty subset of the vertices. Let us consider a ferromagnetic Ising model on $G$ with the spins in $K$ prescribed to be $+$ and with a constant magnetic field $h \geq 0$ on $V \setminus K$. Then the partition function of this model, i.e.,*

$$Z_{\beta,h} := \sum_{\sigma \in \{-,+\}^{V \setminus K}} \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{i \sim j \in V} J_{\{i,j\}} \sigma_i \sigma_j + h \sum_{i \in V \setminus K} \sigma_i \right) ,$$

*satisfies*

$$\partial_h^3 \log(Z_{\beta,h}) \leq 0 \,.$$

**Proof.** Since the partition function $Z_{\beta,\mathbf{h}}$ for a (non-constant) external field $\mathbf{h}$ has $\partial_{h_i} \partial_{h_j} \partial_{h_k} \log Z_{\beta,\mathbf{h}}$ given by the LHS of the displayed inequality of Theorem 1.5, this is an immediate corollary. ☐

## 2 Proof of the upper bound

Recall that, for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$M_L = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_L} \sigma_x$$

is the (non-renormalized) magnetization in the square $\Lambda_L = [-L, L]^2$. If some boundary condition $\xi$ is prescribed on $\Lambda_L$, we will denote the magnetization by $M_L^\xi$. In the same fashion, we will denote by $M_L^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ the magnetization in $\Lambda_L$ with boundary condition inherited from the full-plane $\mathbb{Z}^2$. In particular, by translation invariance, one has

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \mathbb{E}^{\beta_c,h} \big[ M_L^{\mathbb{Z}^2} \big] \,,$$

for any $L \in \mathbb{N}$.

By monotonicity one has, for any $L \geq 1$,

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} \leq \frac{1}{|\Lambda_L|} \mathbb{E}^{\beta_c,h,+} \big[ M_L \big] \,. \tag{2.1}$$

The main idea in the proof of the upper bound is to rewrite the expected magnetization $\mathbb{E}^{\beta_c,h,+}\big[M_L\big]$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}^{\beta_c,h,+}\big[M_L\big] = \frac{\langle M_L\, e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}}{\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}}{\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}}\,,$$

and then to apply the GHS inequality. Indeed the latter (recall Corollary 1.6) says that, for + boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tfrac{\partial^3}{\partial h^3}\log\Big(\textstyle\sum_\sigma e^{-\beta_c E_L(\sigma)+hM_L(\sigma)}\Big) && \le 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow\qquad & \tfrac{\partial^3}{\partial h^3}\log\Big(\tfrac{\sum e^{-\beta_c E_L+hM_L}}{\sum e^{-\beta_c E_L}}\Big) && \le 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow\qquad & \tfrac{\partial^2}{\partial h^2}\Big(\tfrac{\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}}{\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}}\Big) && \le 0\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $F(h) = F_L(h) := \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}}{\langle e^{hM_L}\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+}} = \langle M_L\rangle_{\beta_c,h,+}$. Then one has for any $h \ge 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
F(h) &\le F(0) + h\,F'(0) \\
&= \langle M_L\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+} + h\big(\langle M_L^2\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+} - \langle M_L\rangle^2_{\beta_c,0,+}\big)\,. \qquad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

We will use the following Proposition from [CGN12].

**Proposition 2.1** (Proposition A.2 in [CGN12]). *There is a universal constant $C > 0$ such that for $L$ sufficiently large, one has*

*(i)* $\langle M_L\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+} \le C\,L^2\rho(L)^{1/2}$

*and*

*(ii)* $\langle M_L^2\rangle_{\beta_c,0,+} \le C\,L^4\,\rho(L)\,.$

Plugging the inequalities of Proposition 2.1 into (2.2) and using Wu's result, Theorem 1.2, gives us the following upper bound for $F(h)$:

$$F(h) \le C\big(L^{15/8} + h\,L^{15/4}\big)\,. \qquad (2.3)$$

Plugging this into (2.1) gives us

$$\langle\sigma_0\rangle_{\beta_c,h} \le \frac{1}{L(h)^2}\,F(h) \le C(L^{15/8} + hL^{15/4})/L^2\,. \qquad (2.4)$$

Optimizing in $L = L(h) \ge 1$ leads to $h\,L(h)^{15/4} \asymp L(h)^{15/8}$, which in turn gives

$$L(h) \asymp h^{-8/15}\,.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma_0\rangle_{\beta_c,h} &\le O(1)\,\frac{1}{L(h)^2}L(h)^{15/8} = O(1)\,L(h)^{-1/8} \qquad (2.5)\\
&\le O(1)\,h^{1/15}\,. \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1.1. □

*Remark* 2.2. One might wonder why dominating by the + boundary condition was a necessary step here. The reason is that one cannot apply the GHS inequality to the finite volume quantity $M_L^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$, since the magnetization field is also increased "outside" $\Lambda_L$. Note that if one had worked without the + boundary condition, then $F(0)$ would be zero, and one would obtain a suspicious upper bound on $\langle\sigma_0\rangle_{\beta_c,h}$ of the form $h\mathrm{Var}\big[M_L\big]_{\beta_c,0} \asymp h\, L^{15/4}$ valid for all $L \geq 1$. This would clearly be wrong since, taking $L = 1$, it would give an upper bound on $\langle\sigma_0\rangle_{\beta_c,h}$ of order $h$, which is too small compared to the correct behavior of $h^{1/15}$.

# 3 Proof of the lower bound

The lower bound is more classical and may have been already known although we did not find a reference. For completeness, we include it here.

Let us first settle some notation. We denote by $\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}$ the FK percolation model representing the Ising model at $\beta_c$ with positive magnetic field $h \geq 0$. It is a model of FK percolation defined on the extended graph $G = (V, E)$ where $V = \mathbb{Z}^2 \cup \{\mathbf{g}\}$ (the vertex $\mathbf{g}$ is commonly called the **ghost vertex**) and $E$ is the usual set of nearest-neigbor edges $\mathbb{E}^2$ plus all the edges of the form $e = \{x, \mathbf{g}\}$. (See, for example, [Gr67, ABF87, CPS99] for the use of the ghost vertex in the Ising model and in FK percolation.) Furthermore, each edge $e \in \mathbb{E}^2$ carries a weight $p_c = 1 - e^{-\beta_c}$, while each edge $e = \{x, \mathbf{g}\}$ carries a weight $p_h := 1 - e^{-h}$. It is well known that $\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}$ stochastically dominates $\mathbb{P}_{p_c}$ (denoted $\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h} \succcurlyeq \mathbb{P}_{p_c}$). Furthermore, in the plane $\mathbb{Z}^2$, one has

$$\langle\sigma_0\rangle_{\beta_c,h} = \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g}\big]\,.$$

In the rest of the paper, the FK percolation configurations sampled according to $\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}$ will be denoted $\bar\omega_h = (\omega_h, \tau_h)$, where the component $\omega_h$ denotes the configuration of open edges lying in $\mathbb{Z}^2$, while the component $\tau_h$ corresponds to the set of open edges going from points in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to $\mathbf{g}$.

For any configuration of edges $\omega$ in the plane (i.e., any $\omega \subset \mathbb{E}^2$), let $\mathcal{C}(\omega)$ be the connected component of the origin. Note that with such a definition, $\mathcal{C}(\omega_h)$ might be strictly smaller than the connected component of the origin in the (enlarged) configuration $\bar\omega_h$.

Since $\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h} \succcurlyeq \mathbb{P}_{p_c}$, the restriction $\omega_h$ stochastically dominates the standard $\omega_0 \sim \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}$. In particular,

$$\langle|\mathcal{C}(\omega_h)|\rangle_{p_c,h} \geq \langle|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)|\rangle_{p_c,0}\,.$$

For $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $A_M$ be the event

$$A_M(\omega) := \{|\mathcal{C}(\omega)| \geq M\}\,.$$

For any $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\sigma_0\rangle_{\beta_c,h} &= \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g}\big] \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[A_M(\omega_h) \text{ and } 0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g}\big] \\
&= \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[A_M(\omega_h)\big]\,\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mid A_M\big] \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[A_M(\omega_0)\big]\,\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mid A_M\big]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

since the event $A_M$ is clearly increasing and since $\omega_h \succcurlyeq \omega_0$.

In order to conclude the proof of the lower bound, we use the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists a constant* $c_1 > 0$ *such that for any* $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[A_M(\omega_0)\big] = \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M\big] \geq c_1\, M^{-1/15}\,.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *There is a constant* $c_2 > 0$ *such that for any* $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$ *and any* $h \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \not\leftrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mid A_M\big] \leq e^{-c_2\, h\, M}\,.$$

Before detailing the proofs of these lemmas, let us see why they enable us to conclude the proof of the lower bound. By combining the above lemmas with (3.1), one has that, for any $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} \geq \Omega(1)\, M^{-1/15}\left(1 - e^{-c_2\, h\, M}\right).$$

Now, optimizing $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$, one finds that $M$ should be chosen so that $M \asymp h^{-1}$. This particular choice of $M$ gives the lower bound of $\Omega(1)h^{1/15}$. □

It remains to prove Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

**Proof of Lemma 3.1.** For any radius $R \geq 1$, let $C_R$ be the event that there is an open circuit in the annulus $A(R/2, R) := \Lambda_R \setminus \Lambda_{R/2}$. We will also write $0 \leftrightarrow R$ to denote the event that $0 \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda_R$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[A_M\big] &= \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M\big] \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big]\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \\
&\geq \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow R\big]\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[C_R\big]\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \quad \text{(by FKG)} \\
&\geq \Omega(1)R^{-1/8}\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big]\,, \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

by RSW from [DHN11] (see also Lemma A.3 of [CGN12]).

It remains to prove that if the radius $R \geq 1$ is chosen (as a function of $M$) to be $\Omega(1)M^{8/15}$, then one has

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \geq \Omega(1)\,.$$

This is easily done by a second moment argument on the random variable $N := |\mathcal{C}(\omega_0) \cap \Lambda_R|$. Indeed, denoting $x + \Lambda_R$ by $B(x, R)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{p_c,0}\big[N \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] &= \sum_{x \in \Lambda_R} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow x \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \\
&\geq \sum_{x \in B(0,R/2)} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[x \leftrightarrow \partial B(x, 2R) \,\big|\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \\
&\geq \Omega(1)R^2 \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow 2R\big] \quad \left(\begin{array}{l}\text{By translation inva-}\\ \text{riance and FKG}\end{array}\right) \\
&\geq \Omega(1)R^{15/8}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second moment, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{p_c,0}\big[N^2 \mid 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] &= \sum_{x,y\in\Lambda_R} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow x, 0 \leftrightarrow y \mid 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \\ &\le \sum_{x,y\in\Lambda_R} \frac{\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow x, 0 \leftrightarrow y,\, 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big]}{\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow R\big]\,\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[C_R\big]} \text{ (by FKG)} \\ &\le O(1) R^{1/8} \sum_{x,y\in\Lambda_R} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow x, 0 \leftrightarrow y,\, 0 \leftrightarrow R\big]\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now, a standard dyadic summation (as for example in Section 4.3 or 7.2 of [GPS10]) gives

$$\sum_{x,y\in\Lambda_R} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow x, 0 \leftrightarrow y,\, 0 \leftrightarrow R\big] \asymp R^4\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow R\big]^3\,,$$

which implies the following upper bound on the second moment:

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_c,0}\big[N^2 \mid 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \le O(1) R^{15/4}\,.$$

By the second moment method, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \ge cR^{15/8} \mid 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] > c\,. \tag{3.3}$$

Now let us choose $R = R(M)$ so that $cR^{15/8} = M$, i.e., $R := CM^{8/15}$. Plugging this choice into (3.2) and using (3.3) concludes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

□

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.** Sample the configuration $\omega_h$ (which by definition is the configuration $\bar\omega_h$ without the edges going from $\mathbb{Z}^2$ to the ghost $\mathbf{g}$) according to the conditional measure $\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[\cdot \mid A_M\big]$. Let $k := |\mathcal{C}(\omega_h)|$ (in particular $k \ge M$) and index the $k$ vertices in $\mathcal{C}(\omega_h)$ in any order: $x_1, \ldots x_k$. Let $\tau_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ be the edge going from vertex $x_i$ to the ghost. Sample the edges $e_i$ one at a time knowing the configuration $\omega_h$ as well as the edges $e_j$ already sampled. For any $i \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$, by a quantitative version of the **finite energy property**, one has

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[e_{i+1} \text{ is open} \mid \omega_h,\, e_1, \ldots, e_i\big] \ge c\, h\,,$$

for some constant $c > 0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \not\leftrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mid A_M\big] &= \sum_{\omega_h} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[\omega_h \mid A_M\big]\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[\mathcal{C}(\omega_h) \not\leftrightarrow \mathbf{g} \mid \omega_h,\, A_M\big] \\ &\le (1-ch)^{|\mathcal{C}(\omega_h)|} \\ &\le (1-ch)^M\,,\end{aligned}$$

which easily concludes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

# 4 Without assuming T. T. Wu's result

If one does not want to assume Wu's result, instead of Theorem 1.1 one obtains the following result.

**Theorem 4.1** (without assuming T. T. Wu's result)**.** *Consider the Ising model on* $\mathbb{Z}^2$ *at* $\beta_c$ *with a positive external magnetic field* $h > 0$*, then*

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} \asymp \sqrt{\rho\left(L(h)\right)} \asymp \frac{1}{h\, L(h)^2}\,,$$

*where the* **correlation length** $L(h)$ *is defined as follows:*

$$L(h) := \inf \left\{ L \geq 1 :\, L^2 \sqrt{\rho\,(L)} \geq \frac{1}{h} \right\}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

*Remark* 4.2. Note that if one could show that $\alpha_a^{\mathrm{FK}}(\epsilon, 1) := \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[\partial\Lambda(\epsilon/a) \leftrightarrow \partial\Lambda(1/a)\big] \asymp \epsilon^{1/8}$ with an *SLE* computation, this would imply, without assuming T. T. Wu's result, the following estimate on the average magnetization at $\beta = \beta_c$ as $h \to 0+$:

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} = h^{1/15 + o(1)}\,.$$

This highlights that we do not need the full strength of T. T. Wu's result to obtain the exponent $\frac{1}{15}$.

**Proof.** The proof of the upper bound works exactly in the same fashion as before since one can rely on Proposition 2.1 which was proved in the appendix of [CGN12] without relying on Wu's result.

For the proof of the lower bound, we need to replace Lemma 3.1 by the following (note that the proof of Lemma 3.2 did not assume Wu's result).

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exists a constant* $c_1 > 0$ *such that for any* $M \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[A_M\big] = \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[|\mathcal{C}(\omega_0)| \geq M\big] \geq c_1 \sqrt{\rho\big(L(c_1/M)\big)}\,,$$

*where* $L(\cdot)$ *is the correlation length defined in (4.1).*

To see why this holds, one proceeds in the same fashion as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, using some radius $R$ to be chosen later (as a function of $M$). As in the appendix of [CGN12], one finds the following bounds on the first and second moments of the random variable $N$ defined above:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{p_c,0}\big[N \mid 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \geq \Omega(1)\, R^2 \rho(R)^{1/2} \\ \mathbb{E}_{p_c,0}\big[N^2 \mid 0 \leftrightarrow R,\, C_R\big] \leq O(1) R^4 \rho(R) \end{cases}$$

Therefore by the second moment method, there is some $c > 0$ such that $N$ is larger than $cR^2\rho(R)^{1/2}$ with positive conditional probability at least $c > 0$. Now with

$$R = R(M) := \inf\{R \geq 1, R^2 \rho(R)^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{c} M\} =: L(c/M)\,, \tag{4.2}$$

the same proof as above gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[A_M\big] &\geq c\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow L(c/M)\big] \\ &\geq \frac{c}{C} \sqrt{\rho\big(L(c/M)\big)}\,, \end{aligned}$$

where we use in the last inequality the bound on $\mathbb{P}^{\text{free}}_{p_c,0}\big[0 \leftrightarrow N\big]$ from Lemma A.3 of [CGN12]. This concludes our proof with $c_1 = c/C < c$. □

Combining the above estimates, and using $M := \frac{c_1}{h}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_0 \rangle_{\beta_c,h} &= \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g}\big] \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{p_c,0}\big[A_M(\omega_0)\big]\, \mathbb{P}_{p_c,h}\big[0 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{g} \bigm| A_M\big] \qquad (4.3) \\ &\geq c_1 \sqrt{\rho\big(L(h)\big)}(1-ch)^{c_1/h} \qquad (4.4) \\ &\geq \Omega(1)\sqrt{\rho\big(L(h)\big)}\,, \qquad (4.5) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4. □

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank Douglas Abraham for useful discussions.

# References

[ABF87] Michael Aizenman, David J. Barsky, and Roberto Fernández. The phase transition in a general class of Ising-type models is sharp. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 47:343-374, 1987.

[BD10] Vincent Beffara and Hugo Duminil-Copin Smirnov's fermionic observable away from criticality. To appear in *Annals of Probability*, arXiv:1010.0526, 2010.

[CGN12] Federico Camia, Christophe Garban, and Charles M. Newman. Planar Ising magnetization field I. Uniqueness of the critical scaling limit. Preprint, *arXiv:1205.6610*, 2012.

[CPS99] Jennifer Tour Chayes, Amber L. Puha, and Ted Sweet. Independent and dependent percolation. In *Probability Theory and Applications (Princeton, NJ, 1996)*, volume 6 of IAS/Park City Math. Ser., pages 49–166. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[DHN11] Hugo Duminil-Copin, Clément Hongler, and Pierre Nolin. Connection probabilities and RSW-type bounds for the two-dimensional FK Ising model. *Comm. Pure Applied Math.*, 64:1165–1198, 2011.

[GPS10] Christophe Garban, Gábor Pete, and Oded Schramm. The Fourier spectrum of critical percolation. *Acta Math.*, 205(1):19–104, 2010.

[Gr67] Robert B. Griffiths. Correlations in Ising ferromagnets. II. External magnetic fields *J. Math. Phys.*, 8:484–489, 1967.

[GrHS70] Robert B. Griffiths, Charles A. Hurst, and Seymour Sherman. Concavity of magnetization of an Ising ferromagnet in a positive external field. *J. Math. Phys.*, 11:790–795, 1970.

[Gri06] Geoffrey Grimmett. *The Random-Cluster Model*, volume 333 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[K87] Harry Kesten. Scaling relations for 2D-percolation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 109(1):109–156, 1987.

[MW73] Barry M. McCoy and Tai T. Wu. *The Two-Dimensional Ising Model.* University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1973.

[O44] Lars Onsager. Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition. *Phys. Rev. (2)*, 65:117–149, 1944.

[SW01] Stanislav Smirnov and Wendelin Werner. Critical exponents for two-dimensional percolation. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 8(5-6):729–744, 2001.

[W66] Tai T. Wu. Theory of Toeplitz determinants and the spin correlations of the two-dimensional Ising model. I. *Phys. Rev.*, 149:380–401, 1966.

**Federico Camia**
Department of Mathematics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and NYU Abu Dhabi
Research supported in part by NWO grant Vidi 639.032.916.

**Christophe Garban**
ENS Lyon, CNRS
Partially supported by ANR grant BLAN06-3-134462.

**Charles M. Newman**
Courant institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY 10012, USA
Research supported in part by NSF grants OISE-0730136 and MPS-1007524.